United States Court of AppealsFOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 98-1945 Virginia M. Locke; William G. Locke, Appellants, v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Appellee. No. 98-2138 Virginia M. Locke; William G. Locke, Appeals from the United States District Court for the Western Appellees, District of Missouri. * * [UNPUBLISHED] v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Appellant. No. 98-3064 Virginia M. Locke; William G. Locke,

	*
Appellants,	*
	*
v.	*
	*
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.,	*
	*
Appellee.	*
No. 98-3119	
Virginia M. Locke; William G. Locke,	*
_	*
Appellees,	*
	*
V.	*
	*
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.,	*
	*
Appellant.	*

Submitted: June 14, 1999 Filed: June 21, 1999

Before BOWMAN, HEANEY, and FAGG, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Virginia M. Locke and William G. Locke appeal the district court's grant of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.'s (Wal-Mart) motion for judgment as a matter of law in the Lockes'

action to recover for personal injuries and loss of consortium arising from a fall when Virginia Locke was a patron at a Wal-Mart store. We review the grant of a motion for judgment as a matter of law under a well-established standard. After careful review of the record and the parties' submissions, we conclude the district court correctly granted judgment in Wal-Mart's favor. We also conclude a comprehensive opinion in this diversity case would lack precedential value. We thus affirm the district court's ruling without further discussion. Having ruled in Wal-Mart's favor, we need not consider the Lockes' contention that the district court improperly entered a conditional order for a new trial or Wal-Mart's cross-appeal. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.